CORPORATE PARENTING BOARD – 21 NOVEMBER 2016

Title of paper:	Children in Care Service Performance Report: Quarter One and Quarter Two 2016 - 2017	
Director(s)/ Corporate Director(s):	Helen Blackman – Director, Children's Integrated Services	Wards affected: All
Report author(s) and contact details:	Steve Comb – Head of Service, Children in Care steve.comb@nottinghamcity.gov.uk (64024)	
Other colleagues who have provided input:		
Date of consultation wir (if relevant)	th Portfolio Holder(s)	
Relevant Council Plan I		
Strategic Regeneration and Development		
Schools		
Planning and Housing		
Community Services		
Energy, Sustainability and Customer		
Jobs, Growth and Transp		
Adults, Health and Community Sector		
Children, Early Intervention and Early Years Leisure and Culture		<u>\</u>
Resources and Neighbourhood Regeneration		
In order to ensure contin care and care leavers, monitored and open to s this report is to provide relevant to the areas bei	Iuding benefits to citizens/service user ual service development and to provide th it is essential that the performance of t crutiny by Corporate Parenting Board ('Bo the Board with the most up to date Chil ng discussed at the November 2016 Corp ults covering the first and second quarter o	he best support to our children in the Children in Care service is bard') members. The purpose of dren in Care performance data, borate Parenting Board meeting.

Recommendation(s):

1 To acknowledge the current performance position of the Children in Care service, against identified key performance indicators (KPIs). Where necessary, members are invited to offer suggestion for remedial action in areas of poor performance and developmental suggestions in all other areas.

1 REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

1.1 As Corporate Parents, ensuring the best possible outcomes for our children is paramount. It is not possible to establish how well we are supporting our children to achieve their full potential without having a clear understanding of our performance in regards to key performance indicators.

2 BACKGROUND (INCLUDING OUTCOMES OF CONSULTATION)

2.1 None.

3 OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED IN MAKING RECOMMENDATIONS

3.1 None.

4 <u>FINANCE COMMENTS (INCLUDING IMPLICATIONS AND VALUE FOR</u> <u>MONEY/VAT)</u>

4.1 None.

5 <u>LEGAL AND PROCUREMENT COMMENTS (INCLUDING RISK MANAGEMENT</u> <u>ISSUES, AND LEGAL, CRIME AND DISORDER ACT AND PROCUREMENT</u> <u>IMPLICATIONS)</u>

5.1 None.

6 STRATEGIC ASSETS & PROPERTY COMMENTS (FOR DECISION RELATING TO ALL PROPERTY ASSETS AND ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTURE) (AREA COMMITTEE REPORTS ONLY)

6.1 None.

7 EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

7.1 Has the equality impact of the proposals in this report been assessed?

No An EIA is not required because: (Please explain why an EIA is not necessary) Not needed as the report does not contain proposals or financial decisions.

8 <u>LIST OF BACKGROUND PAPERS OTHER THAN PUBLISHED WORKS OR</u> <u>THOSE DISCLOSING CONFIDENTIAL OR EXEMPT INFORMATION</u>

8.1 None.

9 PUBLISHED DOCUMENTS REFERRED TO IN COMPILING THIS REPORT

9.1 None.